

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,442	03/01/2004	Laurie Russell	249036US23	9987	
22850	7590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GOODROW, JOHN L		
1940 DUKE	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, ALEXINDI	AII., VII 22311		1756		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				4		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/788,442	RUSSELL ET AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John L. Goodrow	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period wended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	2b)⊠ This is in condition for allowar	 action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48		;		
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are 6) Claim(s) 1-23 and 27 7) Claim(s) 24-26 and 2 8) Claim(s) are s  Application Papers  9) The specification is of 10) The drawing(s) filed of Applicant may not required.  Replacement drawing s	is/are withdrave allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are rejected to.  ubject to restriction and/or  ojected to by the Examine is/are: a) accesses that any objection to the others.	r election requirement.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	<b>1</b> )		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	·					
Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent     Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/04.	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3, 11, 14, 15, 23 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The term "substrate defects and substantially free of a weld line" in claim 3, 11, 14, 15, 23 & 27 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "defects and substantially free" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Applicants should point out in the disclosure where the terms find meaning.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naess et al in view of Tilak. Naess et al teaches a method of casting (direct chill) of a metal. The aluminum cast is formed by molten metal with water and gas as a means to cool the

Application/Control Number: 10/788,442

Art Unit: 1756

metal along the circumference. The gas-slip casting forms the product i.e. an aluminum tube. Tilak also teaches the casting of aluminum that produces as tube with a fine-grained metal structure and desirable surface finish. The metal can be aluminum or an aluminum alloy note Col.1 line 40-60. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the cooling of a gas-slip casting of aluminum in the forming of an aluminum tube.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 24-26 and 28-34 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murayama et al [5834148] and Bellino et al [6410197].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,442

Art Unit: 1756

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

John L Goodrow

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

\*\*\*